

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA
NORTHWESTERN DIVISION**

Jacki Harasym,

Plaintiff,

vs.

United States of America,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

ORDER

Case No. 4:09-cv-036

The Government filed a “Consent Motion for Vacatur of Court’s Opinion” on March 15, 2012. See Docket No. 49. The Government stated that the parties had reached a settlement and a dismissal of the appeal to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. The Government brings the motion to vacate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6). It is well-established that district courts have broad discretion when entertaining a Rule 60(b) motion. Middleton v. McDonald, 388 F.3d 614, 616 (8th Cir. 2004). The Court **DENIES** the Government’s motion to vacate (Docket No. 49) the order issued on October 5, 2011. The Court further **DENIES AS MOOT** Harasym’s “Motion to Tax Costs and Disbursements” (Docket No. 40).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 22th day of March, 2012.

/s/ Daniel L. Hovland

Daniel L. Hovland, District Judge
United States District Court